

FILED Document – District Court
2003CV9
CO Weld County District Court 19th JD
Filing Date: Jun 11 2007 4:40PM MDT
Filing ID: 15187802

DISTRICT COURT, WELD COUNTY, COLORADO
Court address: 901 9th Avenue, Greeley, CO 80631
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2038, Greeley, CO 80632
Phone Number: 970-351-7300

Plaintiffs:

ESTATE OF JACK HOLMAN, DOROTHY HOLMAN,
WARDELL FAMILY PARTNERSHIP and GLEN
DROEGEMUELLER, individually and as representative
plaintiffs on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Defendants:

NOBLE ENERGY, INC., NOBLE ENERGY
PRODUCTION, INC. and SOCO WATTENBERG
CORPORATION.

COURT USE ONLY

Case Number 03 CV 9

Div.: 4

FINAL JUDGMENT AND DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

MAUS, District Court Judge.

THIS MATTER comes before the Court upon the unopposed “Motion for Final Approval of the Class Settlement” filed by the Plaintiffs. The Court, being fully advised of the premises of the Motion, FINDS:

A. On February 15, 2007, the Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Preliminary Approval of Settlement (the “Preliminary Motion”) seeking preliminary approval of a settlement of certain claims existing between Noble and a Settlement Class of individuals and entities defined as follows:

All individuals and entities, private or public, to whom Noble has paid or currently is paying Royalties (either directly or indirectly through Duke Energy Field Services (“Duke”) or Duke’s predecessors or successors, and either on its own behalf or on behalf of Other Working Interest Owners) under Leases on Natural Gas and Liquids produced since January 1, 1997 from wells located in the Subject Counties, according to the business records maintained by Noble. The Settlement Class excludes Noble, the federal government, legally recognized Indian tribes, the *Ace-Davis* Plaintiffs, any persons or entities that own a working interest in any well in the Subject Counties operated by Noble, and any

person who currently serves as a judge in either of the Civil Actions and their spouse.

B. Attached as Exhibit "1" to the Preliminary Motion was a Settlement Agreement (the "Agreement") describing the claims that are being settled (defined as the "Settled Claims"), setting forth the terms of the Parties' settlement, and incorporating the terms of this Final Judgment and Dismissal with Prejudice (the "Final Judgment"). The Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and its terms are incorporated into this Final Judgment as if fully set forth herein. The Agreement and Final Judgment shall be referred to collectively herein as the "Settlement."

C. After a hearing on the Parties' Preliminary Motion, this Court entered an Order dated February 16, 2007 (the "Preliminary Order") preliminarily approving the Settlement and directing that notice of the proposed Settlement be mailed and published to the Settlement Class. The Court also set a hearing for June 11, 2007 to determine whether the proposed Settlement should be approved as fair, reasonable and adequate.

D. In accordance with the Court's Preliminary Order, Rust Consulting, Inc., which the Court has approved as Settlement Administrator, caused to be mailed to potential members of the Settlement Class (for whom Noble had addresses available from its accounting records) a notice (the "Mailed Notice") in the form approved by the Court in the Preliminary Order, and caused to be published a summary notice ("Published Notice") of the proposed settlement of this action in the form and manner approved by the Court in the Preliminary Order. Larry D. Moffett, one of the Lead Class Counsel, subsequently filed an Affidavit dated May 14, 2007, which demonstrates compliance with the Preliminary Order. The Court finds that the Settlement Notice provided to potential members of the Settlement Class constituted the best and most practicable notice under the circumstances and included individual notice to all members of the Settlement Class who could be identified by reasonable effort, thereby complying fully with due process and Rule 23 of the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure.

E. On June 11, 2007, the Court held a hearing on the proposed Settlement, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard. Furthermore, the Court has read and considered all submissions in connection with the Settlement. Having done so, the Court has determined that approval of the Settlement will bestow a substantial economic benefit on the Settlement Class, result in substantial savings in time and money to the litigants and the Court and will further the interests of justice, and that the Settlement is the product of good faith arm's length negotiations between the Parties under the supervision of an impartial mediator, former Judge Richard W. Dana.

NOW, THEREFORE, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The Agreement, including the terms defined therein, is incorporated herein.

2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation and all parties to this litigation, including all members of the Settlement Class. The Court finds, based on the record before it, that all of the requirements of Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(3) of the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure have been satisfied for purposes of certifying a settlement class, to wit:

- a. The Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable;
- b. There are questions of law or fact common to the Settlement Class;
- c. The claims or defenses of the Plaintiffs are typical of the claims or defenses of the Settlement Class;
- d. The Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class;
- e. The questions of law or fact common to the members of the Settlement Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members; and
- f. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient settlement of the controversy.

The Court makes no finding on whether this case, if litigated as a class action, would present intractable case management problems.

3. The certified Settlement Class is defined for purposes of the Agreement and this Final Judgment as set forth in Paragraph A above. Plaintiffs are appointed as the class representatives for the Settlement Class, and Plaintiffs' counsel are appointed as counsel for the Settlement Class ("Class Counsel").

4. The Settlement was made in good faith and its terms are fair, reasonable and adequate as to the Settlement Class. Therefore, the Settlement is approved in all respects, and shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, all members of the Settlement Class.

5. The Settlement Class Excluded Entities are not bound by either the Agreement or the Final Judgment. The following person and entities are Settlement Class Excluded Entities: Citation 2004 Investment LP, Marvin Moody, and Platte Valley Management Co. The Settlement Class Excluded Entities may pursue their own individual remedies, if any, as to any of the Settled Claims.

6. Mutual Releases, Covenants and Warranties.

a. Release by Noble. Upon the occurrence of the Effective Date and the release of the Settlement Funds from the Escrow Account pursuant to paragraph 9 below, Noble,

for itself and its agents, officers, directors, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, insurers, successors and assigns, fully and forever releases and discharges the Settlement Class Members, and each of them, as well as their respective heirs, agents, officers, directors, joint venturers, partners, members, legal representatives, attorneys, successors and assigns (hereinafter “Class Members’ Additional Released Parties”), from any and all Settled Claims, except for rights and obligations created by the Agreement and for appropriate adjustments made on the basis of production, severance, ad valorem, conservation, or any other applicable taxes.

b. Release by Settlement Class Members. Upon the occurrence of the Effective Date and the release of the Settlement Funds from the Escrow Account pursuant to paragraph 9 below, the Settlement Class Members, and each of them, for themselves and their respective heirs, agents, officers, directors, joint venturers, partners, members, legal representatives, successors and assigns, fully and forever releases and discharges Noble, and its officers, directors, agents, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, insurers, attorneys, predecessors, successors and assigns (hereinafter “Noble’s Additional Released Parties”), as well as the Other Working Interest Owners and anyone else responsible for making Royalty payments, from any and all Settled Claims, except for the rights and obligations created by the Agreement and for appropriate adjustments made on the basis of production, severance, ad valorem, conservation, or any other applicable taxes.

c. No Release of Non-Parties. Nothing herein shall operate or be construed to release any claims the Parties may have against any person or entity who is not a Party hereto, a Class Members’ Additional Released Party, a Noble’s Additional Released Party, or an Other Working Interest Owner, as those terms are defined in the Agreement or this Final Judgment.

7. This action and any and all claims, actions or causes of action alleged by the Plaintiffs in the Civil Actions, individually and on behalf of the Settlement Class Members against the Defendants, are dismissed on the merits and with prejudice as to Noble and the Other Working Interest Owners.

8. Neither this Final Judgment, the Agreement nor any document referred to herein nor any action taken pursuant to—or to carry out—the Settlement, including without limitation Noble’s acquiescence to the certification of the Settlement Class, may be used as an admission by or against Noble of any fact, claim, assertion, matter, contention, fault, culpability, obligation, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever. The Agreement and its exhibits may be filed in the Civil Actions or related litigation as evidence of the Parties’ settlement, or in any subsequent action against or by Settlement Class Members or Noble to support a defense of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, or other theory of claim preclusion, issue preclusion or similar defense.

9. Allocation of the Settlement Funds shall proceed as follows:

a. The Plan of Administration attached as Exhibit F to the Settlement Agreement, including the plans for allocation and distribution set forth therein, is hereby approved and shall be implemented.

b. The Parties acknowledge that, by operation of subparagraph 5(a)(i) of the Agreement, the amount of the Initial Settlement Payment has been reduced by \$19,558.74, which is the aggregate amount of the Initial Settlement Payment attributable under the Plan of Allocation to the Settlement Class Excluded Entities identified in Paragraph 5 above.

c. Noble is not liable for the allocation of the Initial Settlement Payment or any other Settlement Funds beyond the act of distributing the payments in accordance with the terms of this Settlement. Any omissions from, errors in, or challenges to the allocation shall in no way whatsoever result in an increase of the Settlement Funds paid by Noble.

10. Future Royalty Calculation Method. For the production of Natural Gas and Liquids occurring within the Subject Counties on and after January 1, 2008 or on the first day of the calendar month following the end of the Transition Period, whichever is later, and continuing for the respective lives of the Leases (including for wells drilled on the Leases on, before, or after January 1, 2008), Noble (and its successors) in the ordinary course of business shall, when calculating Royalties due to the Settlement Class Members (and their successors), apply the following provisions relative to its deduction of Post-Wellhead Expenses:

a. Fifty percent (50%) deduction. When calculating Royalties due to the Settlement Class Members and their successors pursuant to the Leases, Noble will cease deducting one hundred percent of Post-Wellhead Expenses and will, instead, deduct only fifty percent (50%) of the Post-Wellhead Expenses actually incurred, directly or indirectly, by Noble. A further description of how this calculation will be made is provided below.

(1) Post-Wellhead Services Paid for in Money. When any provider of Post-Wellhead Services charges monies, directly or indirectly, to Noble for such services, Noble shall include and only deduct 50% of the monies paid or to be paid by Noble to the provider of Post-Wellhead Expenses when calculating Royalties due to Settlement Class Members. For example, if Company A charges Noble \$10,000 for gathering services, then Noble will only deduct \$5,000 for those gathering services in calculating Royalties on the production to which the gathering services pertain.

(2) Percentage-of-Proceeds Arrangements. When any provider of Post-Wellhead Services retains a percentage of the provider's sale proceeds as compensation for Post-Wellhead Services and returns a percentage of the provider's sale proceeds to Noble, then Noble will, in addition to paying Royalties on the sale proceeds returned to Noble, also pay Royalties on 50% of the amount of sale proceeds retained by the provider of such Post-Wellhead Services. The amount of sale proceeds retained by the provider shall be measured and accounted for at the same unit price used by the provider to determine Noble's sale proceeds for Residue Gas at the Mainline Transmission Inlet and for Natural Gas Liquids at the Natural Gas Liquids Pipeline or Storage Tank. This type of arrangement includes, without limitation, wellhead percentage-of-proceeds sale contracts under which another person or entity takes title to Noble's Natural Gas and Liquids at or near the wellhead, gathers and processes the Natural Gas and Liquids, sells the resulting Residue Gas and Natural Gas Liquids, retains a percentage of the sale proceeds, and pays a percentage of the sale proceeds to Noble. For example, if Company B

gathers and processes Natural Gas and Liquids and retains 10% of the sale proceeds thereof and pays 90% of the sale proceeds to Noble, then Noble will, in addition to paying Royalties on 90% of the sale proceeds it receives, also pay Royalties on 50% of the sale proceeds retained by Company B, *i.e.*, pay Royalties on a total of 95% of the sale proceeds.

(3) Arrangements Involving Retained Volumes. When any provider of Post-Wellhead Services receives or retains Residue Gas and/or Natural Gas Liquids volumes in exchange for Post-Wellhead Services, then Noble will pay additional Royalties based on 50% of the value of the Residue Gas and Natural Gas Liquids received or retained by the provider. The value of the Residue Gas and Natural Gas Liquids received or retained by the provider will be calculated by multiplying the same unit price received by Noble for the remaining Residue Gas at the Mainline Transmission Inlet and for the remaining Natural Gas Liquids at the Natural Gas Liquids Pipeline or Storage Tank times the volume of Residue Gas (MMBtu) and Natural Gas Liquids (gallons) received or retained by the service provider. For example, if Company C provides gathering and processing services to Noble and, as a gathering and processing fee, retains twenty-six percent (26%) of the Residue Gas and Natural Gas Liquids volumes attributable to the gas gathered and processed by Company C and returns seventy-four percent (74%) of the Residue Gas and Natural Gas Liquids volumes to Noble, then Noble will, in addition to paying royalties on the Residue Gas and Natural Gas Liquids returned to Noble, also pay royalties on 50% of the value of the Residue Gas and Natural Gas Liquids received or retained by Company C.

(4) Fuel and Other Lost Volumes. Post-Wellhead Expenses incurred by Noble shall include gas used by a provider of Post-Wellhead Services as fuel and all other losses of production volumes (whether through the use of gas or liquids as fuel; through line loss, flaring or venting; or through the retention of drips by the provider, or whether otherwise lost or unaccounted for) incurred between the Initial Measurement Point and the Mainline Transmission Inlet with respect to Residue Gas and the Natural Gas Liquids Pipeline or Storage Tank with respect to Natural Gas Liquids ("Fuel and Other Lost Volumes"). Noble shall pay Royalties on 50% of the value of the Fuel and Other Lost Volumes measured and accounted for by the average price paid to Noble for Residue Gas at the Mainline Transmission Inlet per MMBtu during that same period.

(5) Services Provided by Noble. If Post-Wellhead Services are provided by Noble itself, then Noble may deduct 50% of Noble's actual, direct costs for such services or facilities, all of which costs must be reasonable. Such costs shall not include any overhead, profit, or return on investment.

(6) Other Arrangements. The Parties recognize that there are a variety of arrangements that have been made, or may be made in the future, for the provision of Post-Wellhead Services. Regardless of the particular arrangement, when calculating Royalties due to the Settlement Class Members and their successors, Noble will implement such Royalty accounting procedures and methodologies as may be necessary to ensure that Noble deducts only 50% of the Post-Wellhead Expenses it incurs, including (a) deducting only 50% of any monies

charged, directly or indirectly, by providers of Post-Wellhead Services, and (b) paying additional Royalties based on 50% of the value of all Natural Gas and Liquids retained, used, consumed, lost, or unaccounted for by providers of Post-Wellhead Services. It is agreed that Noble's contracts and other arrangements for obtaining Post-Wellhead Services existing on or before the Effective Date, so long as they remain in effect, properly may be used when calculating Royalties due to members of the Settlement Class, subject to the limitation on the portion of Post-Wellhead Expenses that may be deducted when calculating Royalties from and after January 1, 2008 as provided for in this paragraph 10 and during the Transition Period as provided in paragraph 11.

(7) Application. Subparagraphs 10(a)(1) through (6) apply as appropriate to Noble's Post-Wellhead Expenses, including by applying these provisions to various portions (*e.g.*, fee-based portions and percentage of proceeds portions) of Noble's contracts for Post-Wellhead Services.

b. Check Stubs. Noble shall disclose in or on the check stub that accompanies the payment of Royalties calculated pursuant to this paragraph 10, (i) the total deduction taken as calculated above and (ii) separately report the portion of that deduction that is based upon (a) gathering and processing charges and (b) fuel. Noble shall also each year during the month of March send a letter to each Settlement Class Member (or their successors) explaining how Royalty for the preceding calendar year has been calculated under this paragraph 10. It is expressly agreed that by complying with the provisions of this subparagraph 10(b), Noble shall be deemed to be in full compliance with C.R.S. § 34-60-118.5, as it currently exists and relates to the disclosure of deductions taken. The check stub may contain a footnote or other statement indicating that the Royalties have been calculated as provided in the Final Judgment and referring to and incorporating by reference the letter referred to in this subparagraph 10(b).

c. No Other Modification of Leases. The revised method of calculating Royalties set forth in this paragraph 10 does not affect the provisions of the Leases, if any, relating to any rights a Settlement Class Member may have to the use, including free use, of gas produced from his lease, the payment or nonpayment of Royalties on any gas used by Noble on the lease for well operations, or any other provision of the Leases except to the extent necessary to conform the Royalty payments due to the Settlement Class Members to the calculation methods set forth in this paragraph 10. This Agreement also has no impact on the respective responsibilities of the Parties for production, severance, ad valorem, conservation, or any other applicable taxes.

d. Impact on Division Orders and Other Instruments. This paragraph 10 describes the allocation of Post-Wellhead Expenses between Noble and the Settlement Class Members. Neither the Agreement nor the Final Judgment supersedes or nullifies any division order, pooling agreement or unitization agreement now in existence or executed hereafter, except to the extent that the terms of such instruments conflict with the Agreement, in which case the terms of the Agreement shall prevail.

e. Working Interest Royalty Payments. In addition to Royalties calculated and paid by Noble in satisfaction of its own Royalty obligations under the Leases, the Future Royalty Calculation Method also shall apply to Noble's calculation and payment of the Royalties that it distributes on behalf of Other Working Interest Owners with working interests in the Leases. If any Other Working Interest Owner commences paying Royalties on its own share of production from any well subject to a Lease, and it fails to comply with the provisions of this paragraph 10, nothing in this Final Judgment or the Settlement shall preclude Settlement Class Members from pursuing any remedy against such Other Working Interest Owner with respect to its payment of Royalties.

f. Limits on Deductions. Noble shall not make any deductions for expenses incurred prior to the Initial Measurement Point or any administrative or other costs Noble incurs in making arrangements for Post-Wellhead Services, nor shall Noble make any deductions for any operations or facilities that are used to produce Natural Gas and Liquids and/or deliver the Natural Gas and Liquids to the inlet of a gathering system, including well facilities, flow lines, field separators, field dehydrators, and all other operations, activities, and equipment on the lease.

g. If Residue Gas or Natural Gas Liquids are sold downstream of the Mainline Transmission Inlet and Natural Gas Liquids Pipeline or Storage Tank, respectively, any costs incurred by Noble after the Residue Gas enters the Mainline Transmission Inlet or the Natural Gas Liquids enter the Natural Gas Liquids Pipeline or Storage Tank shall not be treated as or deemed to be Post-Wellhead Expenses, and nothing in this Final Judgment or the Agreement shall preclude the deduction of such costs in their entirety from Royalty payments to the Settlement Class Members. Noble may deduct when calculating Royalties the entire reasonable costs incurred by Noble to fractionate Natural Gas Liquids into separate, distinct products (*e.g.*, into Propane, Butane, natural gasoline) and/or to transport Natural Gas Liquids to a location outside of the Greater Wattenberg Area for fractionation and/or sale.

h. Nothing herein shall be construed to provide, imply or suggest that the Post-Wellhead Expenses and other costs Noble is allowed under this Agreement to deduct when calculating Royalties will be borne in their entirety by the Settlement Class Members. Instead, it is understood that Noble's deductions will be calculated on an 8/8ths basis for any given well and that a Settlement Class Member's shares of the deductions allowed hereunder will only be a proportionate share based on his decimal revenue interest in the well.

i. This Final Judgment, including but not limited to the provisions of this paragraph 10, affects the interests in real property (including but not limited to the lands identified on the list attached hereto as Exhibit 2) held by the Settlement Class Members (including without limitation the individuals and entities identified on the list attached hereto as Exhibit 3), shall run with the land, and shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of Noble and the Settlement Class Members and their respective agents, officers, directors, joint venturers, partners, members, heirs, personal representatives, successors and assigns, with respect to both the current interests owned by Noble and Settlement Class Members and any additional interest

that either Noble or Settlement Class Members acquire under the Leases. Noble may file with the Clerk and Recorder of each of the Subject Counties this Final Judgment and any other documents that may be necessary or appropriate to establish that the terms of this Final Judgment and the Agreement burden the Settlement Class Members' interests and Noble's interests in the Leases. The Agreement and Final Judgment shall apply only to the undivided interest owned by Noble in each Lease or any interest subsequently acquired by Noble or a Settlement Class Member under each Lease. Neither the Agreement nor the Final Judgment shall be construed to amend any lease in which both Noble and any Settlement Class Member did not own an interest on or before February 16, 2007. Neither the Final Judgment nor this Agreement will be interpreted or construed as preventing or limiting Noble's ability, at its sole discretion and without notice to the Settlement Class Members, to enter into new contracts for Post-Wellhead Services, including gathering, transportation, processing or marketing arrangements relating to the Leases, provided, however, that the obligations of Noble under this Final Judgment will continue. Nothing in the Agreement or Final Judgment shall operate or be construed as a cross-conveyance or pooling of the Leases which in any manner affects the right of any separate Settlement Class Member to deal with their separate property interests in the Leases as their sole and separate property without regard to the rights or interests of any other separate Settlement Class Member.

11. With respect to the Settlement Class Members, Noble shall institute the Future Royalty Calculation Method beginning with Royalties paid for production occurring within the Subject Counties on and after January 1, 2008 or the first day of the calendar month following the end of the Transition Period, whichever is later. Noble will also pay Royalties based on the Future Royalty Calculation Method on production occurring during the Transition Period by, in addition to the Royalties paid to the Settlement Class Members during the Transition Period, paying to each of the Settlement Class Members (and their successors) entitled to receive Royalties on production occurring subsequent to December 31, 2006 the following Transition Period Settlement Payments:

a. If the Effective Date precedes July 1, 2007, Noble shall, on or before September 30, 2007, pay the difference between the amount owed under the Future Royalty Calculation Method and the amount paid by Noble to the Settlement Class Member (and/or their successors) in Royalties for Natural Gas and Liquids produced within the Subject Counties between January 1, 2007 and June 30, 2007, inclusive; and also Noble shall, on or before March 31, 2008, pay the difference between the amount owed under the Future Royalty Calculation Method and the amount paid by Noble to the Settlement Class Member (and/or their successors) in Royalties for Natural Gas and Liquids produced within the Subject Counties between July 1, 2007 and December 31, 2007, inclusive;

b. If the Effective Date occurs between July 1, 2007 and December 31, 2007, inclusive, Noble shall, on or before March 31, 2008, pay the difference between the amount owed under the Future Royalty Calculation Method and the amount paid by Noble to the Settlement Class Member (and/or their successors) in Royalties for Natural Gas and Liquids

produced within the Subject Counties between January 1, 2007 and December 31, 2007, inclusive; and

c. If the Effective Date occurs after December 31, 2007, Noble shall, no later than 90 days after the end of the Transition Period, pay the difference between the amount owed under the Future Royalty Calculation Method and the amount paid by Noble to the Settlement Class Member (and/or their successors) in Royalties for Natural Gas and Liquids produced within the Subject Counties between January 1, 2007 and the end of the Transition Period, inclusive.

12. The Court has, by separate order, granted Class Counsel's "Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses and for an Incentive Award Payment to Class Representatives." The amount of attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses awarded to Class Counsel shall be distributed to Class Counsel from the Initial Settlement Payment Escrow Account pursuant to the terms of the Escrow Agreement dated April 13, 2007 and within seven calendar days after service of this Final Judgment. If this Final Judgment is reversed on appeal, Lead Class Counsel shall be jointly obligated to refund to Noble the amount of attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses paid, with statutory interest, within 15 days of the date of such reversal. If the amount of attorneys' fees or Litigation Expenses is reduced on appeal, Class Counsel and their law firms shall be jointly obligated to refund to the Escrow Account the difference between the amount paid to them pursuant to this paragraph 12 and the amount ultimately awarded, with statutory interest, within 30 days of the date of the order or decision reducing the amount of fees or expenses awarded. Noble shall have no liability to the Settlement Class, the Settlement Class Members, or Class Counsel to pay any funds in addition to the Settlement Funds paid.

13. The Court reserves jurisdiction, without affecting the finality of this Final Judgment, over (a) implementing, administering and enforcing this Settlement and any award or distribution from the Settlement Funds; (b) disposition of the Settlement Funds; and (c) other matters related or ancillary to the foregoing.

14. Nothing set forth in this Final Judgment shall be construed to modify or limit the terms of the Agreement, but rather, the Agreement and Final Judgment are to be construed together as one Settlement between the Parties.

15. The Settlement and this Final Judgment shall have no *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, or other preclusive effect as to any claims other than the Settled Claims.

Dated: June 11th, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

David L. Mans
District Court Judge